

# LARP Implementation Compliance Report

---

Section 2B [km 89.5 - km 159.2]

Project No.: 48401-007

January 2021

KGZ: CAREC Corridors 1 and 3 Connector Road Project (Section 2B,  
Ak – Uchuk (Epkin) – Bashkuugandy (Dyikan) [km 89.5 - km 159.2])

Prepared by the Ministry of Transport and Roads of the Kyrgyz Republic with assistance of Gentek Engineering and Consulting Ltd for the Asian Development Bank.

This land acquisition and resettlement plan implementation (compliance) report is a document of the borrower. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent those of ADB's Board of Directors, Management, or staff, and may be preliminary in nature. In preparing any country program or strategy, financing any project, or by making any designation of or reference to a particular territory or geographic area in this document, the Asian Development Bank does not intend to make any judgments as to the legal or other status of any territory or area.

**TABLE OF CONTENT**

1. INTRODUCTION.....	4
1.1. Background .....	4
1.2. Project Description.....	5
1.3 Summary of LARP Impacts per Sections.....	6
1.4. Objectives and Scope of the Internal Monitoring .....	6
2. METHODOLOGY .....	7
2.1. Desk Review.....	8
2.2. Data Collection and Analysis .....	8
3. LARP IMPLEMENTATION ON SECTION 2B.....	9
3.1. Resettlement Plan Implementation Process .....	9
3.2. Preparation for the LARP implementation .....	11
3.3. LARP implementation in the sections Jungal village [122.0km – 132.0km] and Tugol-Sai village [149.0km– 150.1km].....	15
3.4. Legalization of rights of 2 DPs in Jungal village.....	16
3.5 Compensation delivery .....	16
3.5.1. Right to compensation.....	16
3.5.2. Payment of compensation .....	17
3.5.3. Allowances .....	18
3. 6. Level of DPs satisfaction .....	19
4. PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS AND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE .....	20
4.1. Public consultations .....	20
4.2. Information disclosure.....	21
4.3. Grievance redress mechanism .....	21
5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	23
5.1. Compliance Monitoring Conclusions.....	23
5.2. Recommendations.....	23
ANNEX 1: Photos from face-to-face interviews with DPs on Section 2B .....	25
ANNEX 2: Detailed map with location of LAR impacted and free sections in the Section 2B as per LARP implementation .....	26
ANNEX 3. Summary data for LARP implementation at Section 2B .....	27
ANNEX 4. Summary of complaints and appeals.....	39
ANNEX 5. Statements of Demir Kyrgyz International Bank CJSC.....	40
ANNEX 6. Official letters.....	41

**TABLES**

Table 1. Section 2B LAR-free sections .....	5
Table 2. Section 2B LAR Impact sections .....	5
Table 3. LARP Impact Sections as per LARP (2018) .....	6
Table 4. LARP Implementation Action Plan and status .....	10
Table 5. Summary comparison of DPs for Section 2B .....	12
Table 6. LAR Impacts updated as of 2020 (all sections) .....	14
Table 7. Summary comparison of resettlement objects as of 2020 (all sections) .....	14
Table 8. Summary of LARP budget at Jungal and Tugol-Sai sections (actual implementation)...	15
Table 9. LARP implementation status for a whole Section 2B as of September 30, 2020 .....	17
Table 10. Comparison of LARP budget (2018) and on LARP implementation (2020) at a whole Section 2B .....	18
Table 11. Summary of LARP budget at a whole Section 2B (actual implementation).....	18

**ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS**


ADB	—	Asian Development Bank
AHs	—	Affected households
APs	—	Affected persons
CAREC	—	Central Asia Regional Economic Cooperation
DMS	—	Detailed Measurement Survey
DPs	—	Displaced Persons
EA	—	Executing agency
GRG	—	Grievance redress group
GRM	—	Grievance redress mechanism
HH	—	Household
IPIG	—	Investment Projects Implementation Group
KGS	—	Kyrgyz Som
KR	—	Kyrgyz Republic
LAR	—	Land acquisition and resettlement
LARP	—	Land acquisition and resettlement plan
LPC	—	Local person of contact
MoTR	—	Ministry of Transport and Roads
NGO	—	Non-Government organization
RP	—	Resettlement Plan
PSC	—	Project Supervision Consultant
SPS	—	Safeguard policy statement
USD	—	US Dollar

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Background

1. This LARP implementation report (further - Compliance Report) has been prepared by the Ministry of Transport and Road's (MoTR) Investment Projects Implementation Group (IPIG) with assistance of Gentek Engineering and Consulting Ltd. - Supervision Consultant for CAREC Corridors 1 and 3 Connector Road Project (further – Project) to verify whether the implementation of the Land Acquisition and Resettlement Plan for this Project (Section 2B [km 89.5 - km 159.2], Ak-Uchuk (Epkin1) – Bashkuugandy (Dyikan2)) is completed and complies with the stipulations of the approved final LARP and ADB Safeguards Policy Statement 2009 (ADB SPS).
2. This report verified the compliance of the LARP implementation at Jumgal village [km 122+000 - km 132+000] and Tugol-Sai village [km 149+000 - km 10+100] sections. Within the period of July-August 2020 for the sectional handover purpose, the Compliance Report (CR) for two other sections (for the Kyzart pass [km 111.4 - km 111.9] and Kuyruchuk village [km 142.0 - km 144.0]) as part of Section 2B was prepared by IPIG and approved by ADB in September 2020 and completed sections were handed over to the Contractor in September 2020.
3. The LARP was approved by EA/ADB and disclosed on 28 June 2018. It was approved by the Resolution of the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic on 10 September 2019, №333.
4. A satisfactory implementation of the LARP is a condition for ADB no objection to the start of physical civil works. This CR is the last one for the project which covers the LARP implementation completion of all remaining road sections under the LARP.
5. The Ministry of Transport and Roads (MoTR) is the “Executing Agency” (EA), and the daily administration of the Project is conducted by the MoTR Investment Projects Implementation Group (IPIG). Project Supervision Consultant is Gentek Engineering and Consulting Ltd., Contractor - Todini Costruzioni General S.p.A.

Figure 1. Project Road



<sup>1</sup> Previously, the village was called - Epkin.

<sup>2</sup> Previously, the village was called - Dyikan.

## 1.2. Project Description

6. The Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, through the MoTR, implements the project of the Central Asian Regional Economic Cooperation to improve national and regional connectivity. To facilitate the design and financing of projects from international banking institutions, the project road is divided into five sections:
  - (i) Section 1 (for ADB financing): from Balykchy to kilometer pole 43 (0 km - 43 km), approximately 43 km;
  - (ii) Section 2A (for ADB financing) from Kochkor to Ak-Uchuk, (62 km – 89.5 km) approximately 27 km;
  - (iii) Section 2B (for ADB financing): from Ak-Uchuk to Bash-Kuugandy, (89.5 km – 159.2 km) approximately 70 km;
  - (iv) Section 2B: from Bash-Kuugandy to Kyzyl-Jyldyz (159.2 km - 183 km), approximately 24 km;
  - (v) Section 3: from Aral to Too-Ashuu (195 km - 286 km) approximately 70 km.
7. The first three sections are a funding priority for ADB. The project has accompanied by some limited land acquisition and resettlement (LAR) impacts and has been classified as Category B for involuntary resettlement according to ADB standards. As required by ADB safeguard policies, a final Land Acquisition and Resettlement Plan (LARP) was prepared for section 2B<sup>3</sup>.
8. The LARP was prepared for the Section 2B, which runs through the Jumgal district of the Naryn Region. The length of this section is 69.7 km, passes through three villages. The LARP is based on Detailed Engineering design (DID), Detailed Measurement Survey (DMS), public hearings, an independent assessment of land and non-land property, and socio-economic studies (SES) and complies with ADB SPS, the laws and regulations of the Kyrgyz Republic regarding LARP standards.
9. The details on Project road sections with- and without LAR impacts are given below in table 1 and 2. The LAR-free road sections were handed over to the Contractor on 13 November 2018.

Table 1. Section 2B LAR-free sections

Ref. #	marking km		Length of section
	Start of section	End of section	
	km	km	km
1	89+500	111+400	21+900
2	111+900	122+000	10+100
3	132+000	142+000	10+000
4	144+000	149+000	5+000
5	150+100	159+200	9+100
Total length of free sections (km):			56+100

Table 2. Section 2B LAR Impact sections<sup>4</sup>

Ref. #	marking km		Length of section	Note
	Start of section	End of section		
	km	km	km	
1	111+400	111+900	0+500	Kyzart Pass (covered by 1 <sup>st</sup> CR)
2	122+000	132+000	10+000	Jumgal (covered under this CR)
3	142+000	144+000	2+000	Kuiruchuk (covered by 1 <sup>st</sup> CR)

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.adb.org/projects/documents/kgz-48401-007-rp> and <http://piumotc.kg/ru/p1841192/>

<sup>4</sup> LAR impacted sections have been clarified (verified) in June 2019 and agreed between the parties (Employer and Contractor), consequently, the final sections given in this report are different from the sections in LARP approved.

Ref. #	marking km		Length of section	Note
	Start of section	End of section		
	km	km	km	
4	149+000	150+100	1+100	Tugol-Sai (covered under this CR)
Total impact sections (km):			13+600	
<b>Total (km):</b>			<b>69+700</b>	

### 1.3 Summary of LARP Impacts per Sections

10. LAR- impacted sections of the total length of section 2B (69.7 km) amounts to 19.5 % (13.6 km) and the remaining LAR-free sections are 80.5% (56.1 km).

Table 3. LARP Impact Sections as per LARP (2018)

Sections	From Km	To Km	Length (km)	Main LAR impacts
Kyzart Pass	111+400	111+900	0+500	4 HHs operating trailer café/shops
Jungal village~ Jany-Aryk village	122+000	132+000	10+000	71 AHs losing agricultural land/use; 50 HHs losing residential land and illegally used municipal land, together with associated non-land assets and business operation 2 entities losing municipal land, together with associated non-land assets
Kuiruchuk village	142+000	144+000	2+000	14 AHs and 1 entity losing titled residential land and illegally used municipal land, together with associated non-land assets and business
Tugol Sai village	149+000	150+100	1+100	4 AHs losing titled residential land and illegally used municipal land, together with associated non-land assets.
Total			13+600	143 AHs & 3 entities

11. The implementation of the LARP started in February 2020. During the implementation, based on impact minimization and adjustment of the detailed design and verification of DPs' census, the lists of DPs and their assets under the project impact were updated. The number of DPs, land plots, structures, and trees has decreased. Detailed map with location of LAR impacted and free sections in the Section 2B as per LARP implementation is given in Annex 2.

### 1.4. Objectives and Scope of the Internal Monitoring

12. LARP implementation internal monitoring objectives were set in LARP as follows:
- to track the progress of the LARP implementation and check if the deadlines are met;
  - to assess whether compensation, rehabilitation measures and support for social development are sufficient;
  - to identify problems or potential problems;
  - to identify methods of immediate/rapid response to mitigate problems or potential problems;
  - to ensure the operation of the GRM and timely and effective consideration of grievances, if any; and
  - to ensure DP's standard of living is restored or improved.
13. The objective of LARP implementation monitoring is to verify that evaluations, consultations, disclosures, payments and other processes related to LARP implementation are carried out in accordance with the approved LARP.
14. During the LARP implementation, internal monitoring was carried out by the IPIG Social Safeguards Specialist with the assistance of the Resettlement Specialist of the Project

Supervision Consultant (PSC). The IPIG specialist carried out the main work on desk analysis and interviews of a number of DPs.

15. Field surveys and interviews were carried out with the assistance of the PSC. The Resettlement Specialist summarized all the data obtained to form the final version of the report. The Resettlement Specialist has also verified that the compensation process is consistent with the procedures/ methodologies/ mechanisms specified in the approved LARP. The Resettlement Specialist has verified that the assessment, consultation, disclosure, payments and related processes are carried out in accordance with the approved LARP.
16. The objective of this *Compliance Report* is to describe the results of the LARP implementation at Section 2B, to determine if the resettlement objectives have been achieved, and to provide the views of DPs, whose interests and rights were affected by this process in support of the field visits.

## **2. METHODOLOGY**

17. *The methodology* of this *Compliance Report* is fully consistent with the framework of the applied methodology for a typical LARP Implementation Compliance Report.
18. Monitoring of the LARP implementation using a set of indicators and summary data was carried out by regular monitoring of the IPIG specialist. The monitoring results in the form of Quarterly reports on the project implementation and semi-annual Social Safeguards Monitoring Report (SSMR) for January-June 2020 were submitted to ADB.
19. This *Compliance Report* has been prepared on the basis of the key indicators verification:
  - (i) verification of the adequacy of resettlement planning carried out within the framework of the project;
  - (ii) verification of compliance with the time frame for the implementation of the LARP;
  - (iii) sufficiency of rehabilitation measures, support in the social development;
  - (iv) identification of potential problems and timely measures to reduce them;
  - (v) verification of the GRM functioning (timely and effective consideration of complaints, if any).
  - (vi) verification of compensation payments in accordance with the final evaluation report.
20. The above indicators were verified with the help of two methods:
  - a) desk analysis;
  - b) field data collection and interviews.
21. As part of the desk analysis, constant monitoring and analysis of relevant information was carried out on the following issues:
  - the process of adhering to the time frame for implementing the LARP
  - the process of preparing documentation and contracts for the payment of compensation and other special allowances;
  - the adequacy of resettlement planning;
  - the process of preparing the cafe cars relocation on the Kyzart Pass;
  - the preparation process for clearing the impact corridor (ground surface);
  - deadlines for registering complaints, developing decisions and feedback on them.
22. Field data collection and interviewing with affected persons (APs) verified the following issues:
  - information disclosure during individual consultations with APs;

- notification of APs excluded from the list of DPs based on minimization and adjustment of the detailed design and verification of DPs' census;
- compensation and assistance according to the Entitlements matrix;
- adequacy of rehabilitation social support measures;
- participation of people in public consultations;
- DPs' satisfaction with the resettlement process;
- preparation and progress of household relocation;
- the functioning of the complaints registration process, development of decisions and feedback on them.

## **2.1. Desk Review**

23. The desk review covered the project documents, including:
  - land acquisition and resettlement plan, approved by ADB and the Government of KR;
  - two SSMRs for 2019 and SSMR for January-June 2020;
  - LARP implementation Action Plan;
  - design adjustments and detailed design drawings,
  - title documents for the properties and land plot plans;
  - contracts between MoTR KR and DPs;
  - copies of reports on bank transfers from IPIG to DKIB with details of DPs and amounts transferred;
  - other relevant documents as well as the official letters issued and other notifications relating to the LARP implementation.
24. All 100% of household documents were checked.
25. When conducting field research to assess DP's satisfaction and feedback, enquiries of the DPs were conducted using the selective method. In priority, enquiries were conducted of 100% of the business owners among DPs, more than 50% of vulnerable DPs and those being affected by severe impact, and more than 30% of the rest.
26. During the period of preparation of the compliance report for two sections (Kyzart pass [km 111.4 - 111.9] and Kuyruchuk [km 142.0 - 144.0]), 13 DPs were interviewed<sup>5</sup>.
27. At the remaining sections of Jungal village (41 DPs) and Tugol-Sai village (2 DPs) interviews were conducted with 28 DPs (63%). Interviews were conducted with 4 DPs of business owners (100%), 3 DPs - 50% of vulnerable DPs, 4 DPs - 50% of those severely affected and 17 DPs (over 30%) from the rest.
28. Communication with APs and discussion of issues of interest also took place during the DMS clarifications and meetings with Resettlement Specialist.

## **2.2. Data Collection and Analysis**

29. Data collection and analysis included data from the IPIG and from the field. Data on the above monitoring tasks has been collected by the IPIG with the assistance of Resettlement Specialist of the PSC.
30. The general information collected includes the initial data of the DMS agency, the results of the assessment report and information from the DPs interview, as well as entries in the complaints and appeals log.

---

<sup>5</sup> 14 DPs consist of 4 DPs at the Kyzart pass and 10 DPs in Kuyruchuk village.



31. Data collected during the monitoring are used to update the database on a monthly basis. Printed copies of the database are also documented on a monthly basis.

The data collection, analysis and update was completed during the February – October 2020. This also included work with the IPIG engineering team to produce a map with the detailed design along with the plotted affected objects and land plots that were compensated under the Section 2B.

32. The SSMRs prepared for the period from January 2019 to June 2020 included the information on the conducted design adjustments, census update and preparatory activities, status of LARP implementation, also information on the delay in LARP implementation and the measures taken to resume funding and complete the LARP implementation.

### **3. LARP IMPLEMENTATION ON SECTION 2B**

33. This chapter covers the description of preparatory activities prior the start of compensation payments, including the minimization and adjustments of detailed design, verification of census and impact data and needed compensation recalculations made. The chapter presents the comparison of LARP-approved and actual implementation results with details on change reasons.
34. The compensation payment has been made as per the eligibility and entitlements in accordance with the approved LARP.
35. The LARP implementation status for Section 2B was prepared on the basis of documents, detailed design and materials provided by IPIG with the assistance of the PSC, and covers the following: i) No. of displaced persons; ii) Impact on land; iii) Loss of structures (miscellaneous); iv) Loss of trees and shrubs v) Loss of income and job; vi) Allowances.
36. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic was unable to provide timely funding and payment of compensation for land plots to 16 DPs (15 DPs in Jumgal village and 1 DP in Tugol-Sai village). This became the reason for the division of the LARP implementation process into 2 stages. At the first stage, a compliance report of the LARP implementation was prepared for the Kyzart pass [km 111.4 - km 111.9] and Kuyruchuk [km 142.0 - km 144.0] sections. Payment of compensation for losses of DPs in these sections was fully completed in March 2020. Thus, the first Compliance Report for these sections was prepared and approved by ADB in September 2020 and disclosed<sup>6</sup>.
37. This Compliance Report has been prepared following the implementation completion of the remaining two sections - Jumgal [km 122.0 - km 132.0] and Tugol-Sai [km 149.0 - km 150.1].

#### **3.1. Resettlement Plan Implementation Process**

38. In order to facilitate smooth implementation of land acquisition and resettlement process for the Project, LARP implementation Action Plan has been developed for the Project detailing the key steps and milestones throughout the process.
39. During the preparation period for LARP implementation, the PSC's engineers took measures to minimize the impact of the Project. The measures included some adjustments to the technical parameters of the design in order to possibly exclude the impact of the project on the property of APs. The Resettlement Specialist verified the decrease in number of DPs and the corresponding adjustments to the compensation calculations.
40. Prior to the commencement of compensation payments, all APs excluded from the list for receiving compensation were verbally informed about the measures taken to minimize the project impact and later received written notifications. The letter handed to the owner of residential building is attached as an example in Annex 6.

---

<sup>6</sup> <https://www.adb.org/projects/documents/kgz-48401-007-rp> and <http://piumotc.kg/ru/p1841192/>

41. The following steps were proposed and implemented within the Action Plan for the Implementation of the Resettlement Plan, and their compliance was verified during the field visits.

Table 4. LARP Implementation Action Plan and status

Key Steps	Status	Compliance
Step 1: The LARP is approved by the ADB and the Kyrgyz Government, endorsed by the issuance of the Governmental Ordinance and disclosed on the IPIG and ADB websites.	<u>Completed (Q3, 2018)</u> Endorsed LARP disclosed on IPIG and ADB websites. The Government's Order issued on 10 September 2019 (Q3 2019)	Compliant
Step 2: The Ministry of Finance is negotiating with Demir Kyrgyz International Bank (DKIB) on the commission for opening an account to which money for compensation will be transferred.	<u>Completed (Q4, 2019)</u> Agreement with DKIB concluded and the money has been transferred to the IPIG account to pay DPs' compensation.  Payment for Land plots will also be on credit cards.	Compliant
Step 3: IPIG activates and maintains a functional Grievance Redress Mechanism throughout the Project implementation period.	<u>Completed (Q3, 2018)</u> , GRM is established and functional in the Project area. Grievances are recorded in the maintained logs, regularly collected, reported on and addressed	Compliant
Step 4: The LAR-Commission was established and functioning during the preparation of the draft LARP to confirm the list of displaced persons and affected assets and during LARP implementation to assist IPIG team	<u>Completed (Q3, 2018)</u> , LAR Commission established and confirmed the DPs and their affected assets	Compliant
Step 5: (i) IPIG engages a lawyer to prepare individual agreements on land and assets acquisition.  (ii) At this stage, actions were taken to minimize the impact on households and adjustments to the cost of losses associated with data changes were executed.  (iii) Opening bank accounts for all DPs	Completed (Q1, 2020) – The individual agreements on the acquisition of land and compensation for the loss of non-land improvements and income of 55 DPs were prepared and concluded. Legitimization of titles for 2 DPs is completed in Q3 2020 due to Covid-19.  As a result of actions taken to minimize the impact on households 57 DPs remained on the updated list.  <u>Completed (Q1, 2020)</u> . – Bank accounts are open to all 57 APs.	Compliant
Step 6: Determination of places for moving mobile wagons. Signing of the DPs' agreements for the movement of mobile wagons.	<u>Completed (Q1, 2020)</u> On the basis of the meeting with the participation of IPIG representatives, the Project Supervision Consultant, and the district administration, an act was drawn up on the agreement to move to the Road Operating Enterprise site.	Compliant
Step 7: Signing of the contracts and agreements with DPs and disbursement of compensation	Compensation for the loss of non-land assets and income was paid to 55 DPs (Q1 2020).  Individual agreements on the acquisition of land plots and compensation for the loss of non-land asserts were prepared and concluded for 2 DPs (Q3 2020).	Compliant

Key Steps	Status	Compliance
	In September 2020, payment of compensations was fully completed, including the remaining 2 DPs and payment for land plots to 14 DPs	
<p>Step 8: Prior to taking possession of any land or assets, including handover to a contractor, a LARP implementation report (compliance report) shall be submitted to ADB for approval, certifying that the LARP has been fully implemented in accordance with all the principles and provisions of ADB's SPS and the Kyrgyz Republic laws and regulations. Grievances or objections (if any), will be redressed as per the grievance redress procedure adopted in this LARP. All activities related to LAR will be completed prior to the contractor's possession of acquired land and commencement of civil works at a particular road Section.</p>	<p>I. A compliance report for LARP implementation was prepared for the Kyzart pass [km 111.4 - km 111.9] and Kuyruchuk [km 142.0 - km 144.0] sections (Q2 -Q3, 2020), where all 14 DPs, including 4 DPs at the Kyzart pass and 10 DPs in Kuyruchuk village, received compensations in Q1 2020.</p> <p>II. <u>LARP implementation for the Section 2 B was fully completed in Q3 2020:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Compensation for the loss of non-land assets and income was paid to 55 DPs in Q1 2020;</li> <li>- the remaining 2 APs and payment for land plots to 14 APs in September 2020.</li> </ul> <p>As a result of full LARP implementation this Compliance report, including Jumgal village [km 122+000 - km 132+000] and Tugol-Sai village [km 149+000 - km 10+100] sections, is prepared which is the subject for ADB approval.</p> <p>During the field visit it was found that LAR-impacted sections are not handed over to the Contractor until ADB No objection to start civil works and no civil works ongoing in Jumgal village [km 122+000 - km 132+000] and Tugol-Sai village [km 149+000 - km 10+100] sections.</p>	Compliant

### 3.2. Preparation for the LARP implementation

42. The final LARP for Section 2B prepared in 2018 determined a total 143 AHs (618 APs) and 3 public facilities. At the same time 21 households are severely affected, including (i) 1 household that will lose housing; (ii) 3 households will suffer from a permanent business stoppage; (iii) 4 households will suffer from a business interruption during construction; and (iv) 15 households will lose more than 10% of agricultural or residential land. Also, 39 households are vulnerable, which either live below the poverty line, or are managed by women, or there are persons with disabilities in the family.
43. In accordance with the LARP, 19,616.6 m<sup>2</sup> of residential and agricultural land should be withdrawn from 91 households in four villages. In addition, 8,496.2 m<sup>2</sup> of municipal, illegally used land will be returned for project use, affecting 54 households. Along with affected land plots: (i) 1 household will lose a residential building of 70.8 m<sup>2</sup>; (ii) 10 households will lose 199.6 m<sup>2</sup> of non-residential buildings and structures; (iii) 54 households and 3 public facilities should be shifted or restored 4,042.9m of various fences; (iv) 45 households will lose 1,028 trees/shrubs/seedlings; (v) 61 households will lose permanent crops of 16,734.5 m<sup>2</sup>; (vi) 3 households will suffer from a permanent business stoppage; and (vii) 7 owners will suffer from a business interruption.
44. During the preparation of the LARP, engineers took measures to minimize the project impacts, however, before the commencement of LARP implementation, the engineers and

topographers of the PSC performed setting out and additional measures were taken to adjust the detailed design in order to minimize the impact on the DPs.

45. The project decision to shift the axis up to 7.7 m in order to minimize the impact on agricultural land plots of residents of the Jumgal and Jany-Aryk villages was proposed.
46. Engineering decisions completely excluded the impact of the project on all 74 agricultural land plots (69 DPs own 74 land plots and 2 DPs land tenants) and on 19 land plots in settlements (9 DPs and 2 municipal objects in Jumgal village, 4 DPs and 2 municipal objects in Kuyruchuk village and 2 DPs in Tugol-Sai village) 1 commercial pavilion (PK1276+00) and 1 residential building (PK1273+15). A detailed scheme of the estimated distance from the road to the residential building has been prepared for the PL (Appendix 6). Construction work in settlements will be carried out without vibration under the constant supervision of the specialist PSC.
47. During the period November 2019 - February 2020 a social due diligence was conducted for the road axis shifting area within km 121+540 – 123+560 and km 128+680 – 130+580 located in the territory of Jany-Aryk ayil aimak. Moving the axis to the right and left sides will not have any new impact on other land plots, since the land to which the axis is to be moved is state owned with no usage by any 3<sup>rd</sup> parties. The absence of a new impact was checked by the resettlement specialist, survey engineers of the supervision Consultant, together with representatives of the Jumgal Gosregister and land specialists of the Jumgal and Jany-Aryk local authorities<sup>7</sup>.
48. As a result of impact minimization measures, 86 DPs were excluded from the list, of which 71 DPs (69 DPs owners and 2 DPs users) were losing agricultural land plots (16'734.6 m2) and 15 DPs were losing residential land plots (921.52 m2).
49. For the households excluded from the list of AHs, the official letters from IPIG was handed to them in February 2020. For users of agricultural lands, which are excluded from the list of AHs, the official letter from IPIG was handed personally to the heads of ayil okmotu under signature. After receiving the official letter, representatives of the ayil okmotu informed the landowners and land users during personal meetings.
50. During the implementation phase, the number of DPs decreased to 57, including 16 owners of private land plots and 41 owners of non-land asserts and commercial properties.

Table 5. Summary comparison of DPs for Section 2B

№	Impact Type	Total DPs	Owners (DPs)	Lessees (DPs)
A	As per LARP (2018 )	143	141	2
	Real Estate	62	62	0
	Business	10	10	0
	Agricultural land	71	69	2
B	Excluded from LARP	-86	-84	-2
«-»	Real Estate	-14	-14	0
«-»	Business	-1	-1	0
«-»	Agricultural land	-71	-69	-2
C (C=A-B)	Implementation (2020)	57	57	0
	Real Estate	48	48	0
	Business	9	9	0
	Agricultural land	0	0	0

<sup>7</sup> Letter from Jany-Aryk AO confirming the absence of a new impact on land plots due to the shifting of the road axis in agricultural land area is given in the Annex 6.

51. The LARP defines 10 AHs have commercial facilities, of which 7 are subject to temporary impact (mobile business) and 3 are subject to permanent impact. During the period of implementation of LARP one pavilion (PK1276+00 - permanent impact) was excluded by impact minimization actions. Details in the Annex 3.
52. Three pavilions in Jumgal village (temporary business impact) will be moved within Jumgal village by means of the Contractor. The new location is agreed in writing with the local authority, as well as agreed with the DPs. The letter from Jumgal Ayil Okmotu is in Annex 6.
53. The Contractor, in the presence of a local person of contact, will assist the owners to move their stalls based on an agreement with MoTR and the owners made during the implementation of the LARP. Container transportation costs are borne by the Contractor, therefore the timing of the movement depends on the contractor's work schedule.
54. Two pavilions (PK1279+85 and PK1430+30) permanently affected will be dismantled by the Contractor. Dismantling costs are included in the Contractor's estimate. If the Owner decides to dismantle the facility on his own, then he needs to follow safety measures, as he has been notified by the Resettlement Specialist of the PSC. The DPs will be notified on demolishing timeline in advance by the Contractor and they are informed that they can take salvage materials.
55. One household in Jumgal v. was excluded from the DPs' list due to a change in title establishing documents and a reduction in the boundaries of the land plot. In the primary document from 2004 before registration in the State Agency "Cadastre", the land area of 933 m<sup>2</sup> was indicated. In December 2019, on the basis of the certificate of Ayil okmotu, the DP received title documents for a land plot of 700 m<sup>2</sup>, as a result of which the impact of the Project was excluded.
56. In connection with the engineering changes of the project, there were changes in the volumes of impact on the property of 4 households:
  - 1 DP (PK1279+50) - changing the contours and size of affected land;
  - 1 DP (PK1285+70) - the impact on the land in private ownership is excluded;
  - 1 DP (PK1286+20) - changing the contours of the impact on the land plot;
  - 1 DP (PK1286+55) - changing the contours of the impact on the land plot;
57. Changes in the impact on the property of 3 households (PK1277 + 90 in Jumgal village, PK1427 + 90 in Kuyruchuk village, and PK1498 + 45 in Tugol-Sai village) took place on the basis of changes in the title documents. Documents were provided by the district office of the State Agency "Cadastre" under the State Agency for Land Resources. According to the updated data of the State Register, the land is considered municipal. These households received compensation only for non-land assets.
58. 21 severely affected households have been identified in the LARP. After the action to minimize the Project impact 11 households remained as severely affected. All of them lose more than 10% of their land plots and livelihood assets. There are no DPs that lose residential buildings. Physical relocation on site 2B is avoided. Details in the Annex 3.
59. 39 vulnerable AHs have been indicated in the LARP. After the action to minimize the Project impact 13 households remained as vulnerable. Details in the Annex 3.
60. For the current period, in connection with the indicated changes in the impact on the AHs, the impact assessment and compensations were updated. The update of the calculations is based on changes in the title documents, in the composition of structures to be demolished, market prices and other economic indicators. The unit valuation rates adopted in the LARP did not change, but they were re-calculated for the inflation index and regional indicators of the cost of construction costs established by the State Agency for Architecture and Construction under the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic<sup>8</sup>. Also were taken into account

---

<sup>8</sup> <http://gosstroy.gov.kg/ru/cenoobrazovanie-v-stroitelstve/>

legislative changes the minimum wage level, which is used to accrue benefits for adverse impact and vulnerable households. In LARP, the minimum wage was fixed at 1,140 KGS per month. For the LARP implementation period, the minimum wage increased to 1,854 KGS per month<sup>9</sup> which was serve as a basis for update of allowance amounts.

61. Final LAR impacts for a whole Section 2B as a result of LARP implementation preparatory works are given in the tables 6 and 7 below.

Table 6. LAR Impacts updated as of 2020 (all sections)

Section	From Km	To Km	Length (km)	LAR impact
Kyzart Pass	111+400	111+900	0+500	4 DPs - active trailers (wagon-house cafe/shop)
Jumgal v.	122+000	132+000	10+000	41 DPs lose residential land and illegally used municipal land and associated non-land assets and business income (43 objects)
Kuyruchuk v.	142+000	144+000	2+000	10 DPs lose illegally used municipal land and associated non-land assets
Tugol-Sai v.	149+000	150+100	1+100	2 DPs lose residential land and illegally used municipal land and associated non-land assets
TOTAL			13+600	57 DPs (59 objects)

Table 7. Summary comparison of resettlement objects as of 2020 (all sections)

№	Impact Type / Number	Kyzart	Jany-Aryk	Jumgal	Kuyruchuk	Tugol-Sai	Total
A	As per LARP (2018 )	4	48	80	16	4	152
	including						
	Real Estate / Business	4	0	52*	14	4	74
	Agricultural land	0	48	26	0	0	74
	Public assets	0	0	2	2	0	4
B	Excluded objects	0	-48	-37	-6	-2	-93
	including						
«-»	Real Estate / Business	0	0	-9	-4	-2	-15
«-»	Agricultural land	0	-48	-26	0	0	-74
«-»	Public assets	0	0	-2	-2	0	-4
C	LARP Implementation (2020)	4	0	43*	10	2	59
	including						
	Real Estate / Business	4	0	43	10	2	59
	Agricultural land	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Public assets	0	0	0	0	0	0

\* 2 households each have 2 properties in Jumgal village.

<sup>9</sup> Law of the Kyrgyz Republic “On the Republican Budget of the Kyrgyz Republic for 2019 and the forecast for 2020-2021” No. 112 dated December 26, 2018

### 3.3. LARP implementation in the sections Jumgal village [122.0km – 132.0km] and Tugol-Sai village [149.0km– 150.1km]

62. Final LAR impacts for a whole Section 2B by sections are given in the tables 6 and 7 above. LAR impact details and LARP implementation process for the sections Jumgal village [122.0km – 132.0km] and Tugol-Sai village [149.0km– 150.1km] are described below.
63. Almost all DPs (41 out of 43) lose 1,994.7 m<sup>2</sup> of land fences from the project impact. The remaining 2 DPs have commercial pavilions on illegitimate land (km127 + 985 Jumgal and km149 + 845 Tugol-Sai), one of which does not conduct any commercial activity. 7 DPs lose non-residential constructions (sheds and outbuildings) with a total area of 1,960.45 m<sup>2</sup>.
64. 15 DPs in Jumgal village and 1 DP in Tugol-Sai village lose private lands with a total area of 1,960.45 m<sup>2</sup>. 6,019.79 m<sup>2</sup> of municipal, illegally used land (by 30 DPs) will be returned for project use. 24 DPs lose green plants (trees and seedlings) in the amount of 632 pieces in Jumgal village.
65. In accordance with the schedule for LARP implementation, the payment of losses (loss of non-land assets and business) was completed in March 2020, with the exception of compensation for land plots for 14 DPs and 2 DPs under legalization. These 14 DPs received payment of compensation for land plots by September 14, 2020. The legalization of documents of 2 DPs was completed by 22 September 2020 and the compensation was paid. The Bank statement on payment of compensation for land plots for all 16 DPs is attached to the report (Annex 5).
66. The total amount of the resettlement budget at Jumgal and Tugol-Sai sections without administrative and unforeseen expenses in the LARP 2018 was 8,106,233 KGS, including loss of agricultural land and associated crop losses in Zhany Aryk and Zhumgal villages in the amount 1,051,485 KGS have been excluded from LAR impact.
67. In fact, the whole resettlement budget in 2020 for Jumgal and Tugol-Sai sections was 4,545,217 KGS. Payment of compensations for the acquisition of land plots was at the expense of the state budget of the Kyrgyz Republic in the amount 982,186 KGS. Payment of compensation for non-land assets and allowances was financed in the amount 3,563,031 KGS from the ADB grant.

Table 8. Summary of LARP budget at Jumgal and Tugol-Sai sections (actual implementation)

Description	DPs	Area	Amount
		m <sup>2</sup>	KGS
Cost of losses due to agricultural land loss	0	0	0
Cost of losses due to residential land loss	16	1,960.45	982,186
Cost of losses due to residential buildings loss	0	0	0
Cost of losses due to non-residential buildings loss	7	131.7	1,222,013
Cost of loss due to fences loss	41	1,994.7	1,104,920
Cost of loss due to trees loss	24	0	277,560
Other assets	4	0	40,250
Crop loss	0	0	0
Transaction costs	16	0	192,552
Income loss	4	0	570,000
Allowances for severely affected DPs	6	0	66,744
Allowances for vulnerable DPs	8	0	88,992
Total			4,545,217

68. The amount of allowances for a severe impact on 6 DPs was 66,744 KGS. The amount of vulnerability allowances for 8 DPs was 88,992 KGS. The total amount of allowances paid in the Jumgal and Tugol-Sai sections of Section 2B is 155,736 KGS.

69. Compensation for 41 DPs in Jumgal v. and 2 DPs in Tugol-Sai v. of Section 2B was paid in full in accordance with the LARP and taking into account transaction costs (reimbursement of expenses for operations related to the division of land plots and the execution of updated documents and registration of the transaction).
70. For the current period, all obligations to pay for losses of all 57 DPs have been fulfilled. There are no claims on the part of DPs.

### **3.4. Legalization of rights of 2 DPs in Jumgal village**

71. DP in the LARP list No. 13, km 127 + 715 did not have documents for the inheritance of a land plot in Jumgal village. In accordance with the LARP, he loses part of the land plot with an area of 88 m<sup>2</sup>. His parents died, but the privatization of the land was not completed accordingly. This process took a long time since it was necessary to request documents in the district and regional archives, and receive documents from relatives. This DP has a disability (vulnerable group) and lacks funds. The deadlines for collecting documents were influenced by COVID-19 restrictions. Legalization of right and compensation, including vulnerability benefit, completed in September 2020. The compensation for the land plot is determined in the amount of 44'088 KGS, the vulnerability benefit is 11'124 KGS. In addition, the costs of registration of the transaction in the SI KADASTR in the amount of 11'625 KGS were paid.
72. DP in the list of LARP No. 32, km 128 + 280 was not identified during the period of the DMS. He died in 2006. His son now lives in the neighboring village of Jany-Aryk. The old small house was dismantled for building materials in 2008 and the land was sold to a neighbor. The owner died and his son lost all documents and sold the property to the neighbour without finalization of inheritance process. The purchase and sale of the land plot was not registered in the State Register. For the current period, he lost all his documents (passport, certificate of the right to inheritance, etc.). The actual owner since 2008 is DP in the LARP list No. 34, km 128 + 314. He helped to restore all lost documents and paid all expenses himself. The deadlines for collecting documents were affected by COVID-19 restrictions.
73. After the restoration of all documents in August 2020, a real user made a deal and bought the land plot. According to LARP, this DP will lose part of the land plot with an area of 142.80 m<sup>2</sup>, 42.40 m of fences, and one tree. After legalization was completed in September 2020, the owner received compensation in the amount of 110,637 KGS. Compensation for the land plot purchased for the construction of the road - 71,543 KGS, the cost of fencing - 27,213 KGS, the cost of a tree - 360 KGS. In addition, the costs of registering the transaction in the State Institution "KADASTR" in the amount of 11,881 KGS were paid.
74. Demir Kyrgyz International Bank (DKIB) documents on the payment of compensation to these 2 DPs are in Annex 5.

### **3.5 Compensation delivery**

#### **3.5.1. Right to compensation**

75. The compensation eligibility for the Section 2B DPs, as well as for the entire Project, was limited by the cut-off date established by order No. 183-p dated May 26, 2014 of the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic, and was the same for all DPs, regardless of their legal status. However, despite the fact that the DMS was completed in 2017, all DPs found in the impact corridor were registered and reviewed for compensation. Therefore, the compensation eligibility is consistent with ADB SPS in this regard.
76. Based on the inventory of Project affected assets, the LARP determined types of assets and income loss for compensation and entitlements. As per approved LARP, the adopted Project Specific Entitlement Matrix, based on the Kyrgyz Republic laws and the requirements of ADB's SPS, specifies the following eligibility compensation and/or at least rehabilitation: (i)



all DPs losing land covered by the legal title; (ii) owners of buildings, crops, plants, or other objects attached to the land regardless of their legal title; (iii) DPs losing their businesses, income, and salaries regardless of their legal status entitlement provisions for DPs include provisions for land losses, building/structure losses, crops, plants, (or other objects attached to the land), and a business/employment losses based on tax declarations and/or lump sums, as well as allowance for adverse impact, relocation and vulnerability.

77. When asked interviewed DPs, if they were aware of their entitlements, all the interviewed 28 DPs in Jumgal and Tugol Sai sections and 13 DPs at previous Kyzart and Kuyruchuk sections confirmed they are aware of their entitlements.

### 3.5.2. Payment of compensation

78. The desk review and the field visits show that the compensation delivery was based on the thoroughly adhered legal procedures ensuring transparency, compliance and avoiding misuse.
79. Before the commencement of compensation payments, measures were taken to minimize the impact of the project and clarify the documents of title. SI CADASTR made changes and clarifications to the composition of losses of affected households. The changes impacted the affected area of land plots, length of fences, number of green spaces. For 4 DPs were provided with updated title documents affecting the loss content, and the title to land plots was excluded.
80. In this regard, additional calculations of the cost of losses were carried out, taking into account their quantitative characteristics, as well as changes in market prices for materials and services.
81. The compensation payment was done through bank transfer, which completely eliminated misuse of funds and/or any potential fraudulent actions related to compensation.
82. Elcard bank cards have been issued for all DPs and the payment of compensation has been transferred to them. Statements of CJSC "Demir Kyrgyz International Bank" are in Annex 5.

Table 9. LARP implementation status for a whole Section 2B as of September 30, 2020

№	LARP, impact sections	Section length, km	DPs		LARP implementation status, payment of compensation
			Total	with land plots for compensation	
1	Km 111+400 – Km 111+900 Kyzart pass	0.5	4	0	Compensation paid 100% 344,496 KGS
2	Km 122+000 – Km 132+000 Jumgal village	10.0	41	15	Compensation paid 100% 4,360,705 KGS
3	Km 142+000 – Km 144+000 Kuyruchuk village	2.0	10	0	Compensation paid 100% 716,360 KGS
4	Km 149+000 – Km 150+100 Tugol-Sai village	1.1	2	1	Compensation paid 100% 184,512 KGS
	Total	13.6	57	16	Compensation paid 100% 5,606,073 KGS

83. The total amount of the resettlement budget at whole Section 2B without administrative and unforeseen expenses in the LARP 2018 was 10,758,701 KGS, including the compensations for the acquisition of land plots with financing from the state budget of the Kyrgyz Republic in the amount 1,728,936 KGS and the compensation for non-land assets and allowances with financing from ADB funds in the amount 9,029,765 KGS.

Table 10. Comparison of LARP budget (2018) and on LARP implementation (2020) at a whole Section 2B

Description	LARP	LARP implementation
	KGS	KGS
Cost of losses due to agricultural land loss	284,493	0
Cost of losses due to residential land loss	1,444,443	982,186
Cost of losses due to residential buildings loss	1,156,289	0
Cost of losses due to non-residential buildings loss	2,797,104	1,441,892
Cost of loss due to fences loss	1,892,965	1,390,787
Cost of loss due to trees loss	522,990	410,430
Other assets	72,050	51,250
Crop loss	828,265	0
Transaction costs	54,602	192,553
Income loss	1,320,000	870,000
Allowances for severely affected DPs	118,740	122,364
Allowances for vulnerable DPs	266,760	144,612
Total	10,758,701	5,606,073

84. The actual LARP implementation budget was **5,606,073 KGS** (all utilized in 2020). Payment of compensations for the acquisition of land plots was at the expense of the state budget of the Kyrgyz Republic in the amount of **982,186 KGS**. Payment of compensation for non-land assets and allowances was financed in the amount **4,623,887 KGS** from the ADB grant.

Table 11. Summary of LARP budget at a whole Section 2B (actual implementation)

Description	DPs	Area m <sup>2</sup>	Amount	
			KGS	USD
Cost of losses due to agricultural land loss	0	0	0	0
Cost of losses due to residential land loss	16	1,960.45	982,186	\$14,061.4
Cost of losses due to residential buildings loss	0	0	0	0
Cost of losses due to non-residential buildings loss	8	204.1	1,441,892	\$20,642.7
Cost of loss due to fences loss	50	2,724.9	1,390,787	\$19,911.1
Cost of loss due to trees loss	32	0	410,430	\$5,875.9
Other assets	4	0	51,250	\$733.7
Crop loss	0	0	0	0
Transaction costs	16	0	192,553	\$2,756.7
Income loss	8	0	870,000	\$12,455.3
Allowances for severely affected DPs	11	0	122,364	\$1,751.8
Allowances for vulnerable DPs	13	0	144,612	\$2,070.3
Total			5,606,073	\$80,259

### 3.5.3. Allowances

85. For the current period, due to the indicated changes in the impact on DPs, the estimate of DPs' losses has been updated. At the same time, legislative changes in the Law of the Kyrgyz Republic "On the Republican budget of the Kyrgyz Republic for 2019 and forecast for 2020-2021" No. 112 dated December 26, 2018, the minimum wage that is used, were also taken into account to accumulate allowances for negative impacts and vulnerable households. In

the LARP, the minimum wage was approved in the amount of 1140 KGS per month. For the period of the LARP implementation, the minimum wage was approved in the amount of 1854 KGS per month, which was used to recalculate allowances before payment.

86. Throughout Section 2B, 11 DPs received severe impact allowances and 13 DPs received vulnerability allowances. In Jumgal and Tugol-Sai sections, 6 DPs received severe impact allowances and 8 DPs received vulnerability allowances.
87. All interviewed DPs confirmed that they received compensation amounts as stipulated in their agreements and that existing assets and/or property were actually listed.

### **3. 6. Level of DPs satisfaction**

88. Household representatives have been asked several questions to examine their level of satisfaction, as well as to study their perceptions of the impact scope.
89. During the interview with DPs, the following topics and questions were raised:
  - on the time frame for the preparation and implementation of the road construction project;
  - on the provision and disclosure of information about the supposed construction works;
  - on the procedure for determining the volume of impact on the DPs' property;
  - on the rights to compensation for the DPs' lost property;
  - the adequacy (conformity) of the amounts of compensation received;
  - collection of documents and preparation of agreements for the payment of compensation and allowances;
  - correct communication with specialists in LARP preparation and implementation;
  - obtaining sufficient information for questions about the project;
  - participation of DPs and their representatives in public consultations;
  - the process of obtaining compensation, the absence or presence of problems and violations;
  - the preparation process for clearing the impact corridor (ground surface);
  - checking the satisfaction of the DPs with the LARP implementation process;
  - the process of registration of appeals and the development of decisions and feedback on them;
  - notifications of APs excluded from the LARP list.
90. During the monitoring, some APs asked questions about the location of the project sidewalk and road boundaries and unforeseen impacts on households, including vibration., it should be noted that, in addition to the explanations provided, (i) to the owner of the residential building excluded from the list of affected properties, the scheme indicating the distance between road and residential building was provided (in Annex 6) and (ii) APs have been informed that construction work in villages will be carried out without vibration with regular supervision by PSC. All APs interviewed confirmed that they received detailed answers to their questions regarding the project and the procedures for LARP implementation.
91. All representatives of households confirm that they were present during the DMS period with the participation of a specialist from the SI CADASTR and the PSC's specialists and even assisted in measuring the property that is affected by the project.
92. During the period of the DSM and subsequent public hearings, all APs were provided with detailed information about their rights to receive consultations. This was confirmed by all 28 interviewed DPs in Jumgal and Tugol Sai sections.

93. During preparation for LARP implementation in Jumgal village 2 DPs expressed the opinion that the preparation and implementation of the project took a long time. And although they always received information about the stages of project preparation, they began to doubt that the project would be implemented.
94. During the period of signing agreements for compensation, 2 DPs in Jumgal village expressed dissatisfaction with the amount of compensation, referring to their neighbors, for whom the amount of compensation is determined more. They assumed that the amounts of compensation should be the same. The Resettlement Specialist and IPIG's lawyer immediately provided detailed explanations. These 2 DPs were compensated for the loss of planting and fences located on illegitimate land plots (unauthorized seized municipal lands). At the same time, the compensation for neighboring DPs includes the cost of the redeemed land plot and also the structure located on it. After the discussion, the question was settled.
95. All 8 DPs in Jumgal village and 2 DPs in Tugol-Sai village, who were asked about the procedures for collecting documents for the payment of compensation, answered that the PSC's specialists requested all documents confirming the ownership of the household and studied them in detail. In the absence of some necessary documents, they provided information on how and where to obtain these documents, for example, such as a certificate of inheritance rights, a power of attorney from a person outside the Kyrgyz Republic.
96. When asked about the impact of the project on the household, 10 DPs rated it as insignificant and 3 DPs rated it as moderate, as they mostly lose mesh fences, trees, and small strips of land.
97. But 3 DPs noted that they rated it as significant since 2 DPs lose a significant area of land and a large number of trees, and 1 DP loses its source of income as a result of the constant termination of business (dismantling of the pavilion building at the bus stop in Jumgal village). For the loss of a significant area of land and the termination of business, they additionally received allowance as severely affected. They are fully satisfied with the compensation.
98. Regarding the process of receiving compensation 5 DPs expressed regret (opinion) that the service Bank does not have branches on the entire section 2B and there were some difficulties with cashing out funds.
99. The majority of interviewees (20 DPs out of 28) reported that they are satisfied with the implementation of the Resettlement Plan; the cost of compensation is objective and probably calculated appropriately, which allows replacing the affected assets.
100. 1 DP in Jumgal village said he had never consulted on the amount of compensation prior to signing the agreement.
101. 3 interviewed DPs with a temporary impact on business in Jumgal village are satisfied with LARP preparation and implementation and the work of the IPIG specialists and PSC specialists..
102. 4 interviewed vulnerable DPs in Jumgal village are satisfied with LARP implementation and the amounts of compensation.
103. All interviewees confirmed that they were provided with information about the possibility of appeals or grievances regarding the implementation of the project through the grievance redress mechanism. They can contact the aiyl okmotu and also ask questions through the Resettlement Specialist.
104. In general, most of the interviewed DPs are satisfied with the progress of the resettlement process.

#### **4. PUBLIC CONSULTATIONS AND INFORMATION DISCLOSURE**

##### **4.1. Public consultations**

105. Public consultations with APs were carried out throughout the process of LARP preparation and completion. Consultations were also held with key stakeholders such as heads and

deputies of district administrations, aimak leaders and land managers, engineers, and representatives of IPIG and the PSC. The consultations were aimed at the exchange of information about the Project, ensuring the cooperation of local authorities during LARP preparation and implementation, and the creation of grievance groups. See Photo in Annex 1.

106. Information booklets describing the project, matrix of rights, GRM, and information on key contacts between IPIG experts and the PSC were distributed to DPs during consultations.
107. Information brochures describing the Project, entitlement matrix, GRM and contact details of the key contacts both on-site and in the MoTR and IPIG as well as the PSC have been distributed among the DPs during the consultations.
108. In the process of LARP preparing from August 2016 to July 2017, the order of the Government and the cut-off date was clarified. Information was provided on the project, on the procedure for land acquisition, on the resettlement procedures and the ADB SPS. They were also advised on the impact of the project on property and loss assessment.
109. The official consultations with the DPs were supplemented by individual meetings, explanations, clarifications, which were carried out throughout the process of preparing and completing LARP, as well as at the implementation stage.
110. All the interviewed DPs said they were invited to consultations and meetings related to the Project. The issues discussed at those meetings and consultations were reported as related to resettlement, entitlements to the land and other property and the compensation procedure.
111. Before the commencement of the payment of compensation, all DPs removed from the list for compensation were orally informed about the measures taken to minimize the impact of the project, and then received written notifications.
112. Hence, the observations and interviews suggest that public information and information disclosure has been adequately planned and implemented and is compliant with the ADB SPS.

#### **4.2. Information disclosure**

113. The final LARP for the Project approved by the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic and ADB has been disclosed on the ADB and MoTR websites<sup>10</sup>. This LARP compliance report will also be disclosed on ADB and MoTR websites after ADB approval.

#### **4.3. Grievance redress mechanism**

114. GRM was established for this Project and the APs were notified about it in written form during a public hearing in September 2016 and January 2017. The Grievance Redress Mechanism for the Project has been described in the final LARP and entailed series of meetings, discussions and training sessions covering relevant stakeholders.
115. Each visit of specialists to the site is accompanied by meetings and oral conversations. The nature of the questions is very diverse and it is impossible to write them in the GRM log. Questions related to the start and duration of construction, the width of the roadway, the availability of sidewalks and pedestrian crossings. Many people were also interested in water supply and street lighting. But in any case, oral explanations were given to all questions.
116. Grievance redress groups (GRG) were established at the local level - in the Jumgal district in each of the three ayil aimaks along the project road. A local person of contact (LPC) is appointed in each ayil okmotu (local authority). GRG at the central level was established under MoTR KR in Bishkek.
117. The updated team of "Grievance Redress Group" was approved by Order No.43 dated February 12, 2020 by the Ministry of Transport and Roads of the Kyrgyz Republic prior the start of LARP implementation.

---

<sup>10</sup> <https://www.adb.org/projects/documents/kgz-48401-007-rp>  
<http://piumotc.kg/ru/p1841192/>

118. The Order No. 44 dated February 12, 2020 by the Ministry of Transport and Roads of the Kyrgyz Republic “On grievance redress mechanism for people whose property is affected by projects” approved the Guidelines for the grievance redress mechanism on environmental and social protective measures for Connecting Road Project, CAREC Corridors 1 and 3.
119. The Guidelines for GRM provides two levels of resolution of complaints, appeals, statements, and requests of people (APs), whose property and business are directly or indirectly affected by the above Project, provides an effective feedback mechanism, and improves public awareness of the Project.
120. Appeals, complaints, statements and requests of people are first considered by the Grievance redress group at the local level within the period established by the Order - 10 working days from the day of receipt. If it is impossible to make a decision at the local level, grievance redress should be carried out within 10 days at the central level with mandatory notification of the applicant. The decision of the relevant groups following the results of the consideration of appeals, complaints, statements and requests of people are recorded in the protocol.
121. In the event that a decision is required by the sponsoring donor (ADB), then this appeal and/or statement is submitted for consideration at the supranational level - ADB.
122. Despite rigorous efforts and wide coverage of the affected communities, and particularly, the displaced persons, only 3 of the 28 interviewed DPs said they did not know where to go with a complaint. However, when they were asked about the reason, they replied that they did not live in the village, they never had complaints, but they all said that they knew the contact number of the local resettlement consultant of PSC in case of any problems.
123. 2 DPs said they did not know where to appeal. They were provided with a detailed explanation of GRM.
124. In 2019, a total of 2 complaints were registered, according to which decisions of local level GRG were taken related to explanations on the setting out and written answers were provided. The appeals were closed. Details are in the Annex 4.
125. During the LARP implementation period in 2020 2 grievances have been registered. The details are following.
126. In March 2020, an oral appeal was received for clarification on the consequences of the construction of the road and the effect of vibration on residential buildings in Kuyruchuk village. Oral information was immediately given to the appeal about the proposed measures to minimize the effects of vibration during construction and clarifications about the planned public hearings and the GRM. The appeal was registered in the log and a written response was prepared. The appeal was closed.
127. In August 2020, a written complaint from one DP was submitted through the GRM. LARP 2018 provides for the dismantling of the pavilion building in the Jumgal village. After measures to minimize the impact of the project and the removal of the road boundaries, dismantling of the pavilion was excluded and the DP was excluded from the DP list. A written notification about the exclusion of this object from the LARP list was delivered to the owner on 11 March 2020. But now the DP is worried about the effects of vibration on the pavilion building during construction work. For DPs, a written notice of September 09, 2020 was prepared and explanations were given of the actions and procedures for providing assistance or compensation in case of an unforeseen impact of the project.
128. Complaint regarding vibration exposure to residential buildings in settlements was predictable and common practice. Probably, such complaints of citizens are activated at the stage of road construction not only in Kuyruchuk village but also in Jumgal village. In this regard, during the construction work, a Resettlement Specialist's fieldwork, the involvement of the local administration for explanatory work, and conduct public hearings are planned.
129. Field visits and analysis of the GRM log entries shows that there are no impact factors on households prior to construction work.

130. A summary of complaints and appeals during the project implementation period is given in Annex 4. All appeals are related to clarifying the boundaries of land plots and the project's impact zone. All cases are closed.

## **5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **5.1. Compliance Monitoring Conclusions**

131. A desk analysis and field visits show that, in general, the implementation of the LARP at the Section 2B is satisfactory and compliant with ADB's SPS and LARP provisions. Also during the LARP implementation preparation, measures were taken to minimize the impact of the project. As a result of impact minimization measures, 86 DPs were excluded from the total list of 143 DPs. Households excluded from the compensation lists were duly informed in writing.
132. The impacts were fairly identified and compensated to the affected property (land plots, constructions, planting and loss of income) as per entitlement matrix. The right to receive compensation and benefits was limited by cut-off date established for the Project. DPs' allowances were paid in accordance with the LARP and their eligibility.
133. There are no DPs that will need to be physically relocated, with the exception of 3 commercial facilities (pavilions, wagon-house) under the temporary impact of the project at Jumgal v. [km122+000 - km132+000] and Tugol-Sai v. [km149+000 - km10+100] sections of Section 2B. Locations for temporary relocation are identified and agreed with DPs.
134. One non-residential store building will be dismantled, the owner of which received compensation for real estate, loss of income, and a severe impact allowance in Jumgal village.
135. The total length of the sections at Jumgal village [km122 + 000 - km132 + 000] and Tugol-Sai village [km149 + 000 - km10 + 100] to be handed over to the Contractor on the basis of this LARP Compliance Report is 11.1 km. Earlier, the ADB prepared and approved a Compliance Report for two sections (for the Kyzart pass [111.4 km - 111.9 km] and Kuyruchuk village [142.0 km - 144.0 km]) with a total length of 2.5 km as part of Section 2B (13.6 km).
136. The ADB SPS requirements for involuntary resettlement and the principles adopted for the project were complied with. By far all the DPs showed awareness of their compensation entitlements, consider impacts were adequately listed and compensated.
137. DPs and other community members were invited and attended public consultations and received information brochures. This suggests that affected communities, and in particular DPs, were involved in the LARP preparation process.
138. The PSC will daily monitor the project site to ensure that the Contractor does not exceed the the designated areas and sections where the excluded objects/assets are in close distance to the Project site.

### **5.2. Recommendations**

139. IPIG to make every effort and ensure that all possible technical measures to minimize LAR impacts are taken during both feasibility study and detailed design stage for coming transport projects (if any) to avoid complaints from affected persons in the future and to save time, financial and labour resources. It's proposed IPIG:
- to prepare analytical materials based on IPIG's practice on LAR impact minimization during feasibility study stage, detailed design stage and before LARP implementation in the transport projects;
  - to provide training for the technical staff of EA/Consultant involved in the feasibility study and detailed design stages on ADB SPS and IPIG practice in the transport projects;

- to ensure that the bidding documents contain the responsibilities of the Design Consultant/Contractor to follow ADB SPS requirements and they are properly addressed.
- 140. IPIG should intensify explanatory work and continue sharing information, especially to vulnerable and/or adversely affected persons, in order to avoid communication failures.
- 141. IPIG should strengthen communication with the Ministry of Finance of the Kyrgyz Republic when determining the bank, which would be used to pay compensation to the DP. It is necessary to take into account the presence of bank branches and ATMs in order to ensure the best accessibility of DP to cash receipt. On section 2B in the settlements there is not a single branch of Demir Kyrgyz International Bank with which a contract for the payment of compensation has been concluded.



**ANNEX 1: Photos from face-to-face interviews with DPs on Section 2B**



Conducting public consultations



Signing contracts of compensation




Legalization of rights



Monitoring visit

**ANNEX 2: Detailed map with location of LAR impacted and free sections in the Section 2B as per LARP implementation**

Figure 1. Plan of Section 2B



**ANNEX 3. Summary data for LARP implementation at Section 2B**

Table 12. Summary Comparison of Resettlement Impact on severely affected Households

№	Impact type/N of AH	Kyzart	Jany-Aryk	Jumgal	Kuyruchuk	Tugol-Sai	Total
A	According to LARP (2018)	4	5	10	1	1	21
	including						
	Real Estate/Business	4	0	10	1	1	16
	Agricultural land	0	5	0	0	0	5
B	Excluded objects	0	-5	-4	0	-1	-10
	including						
«-»	Real Estate/Business	0	0	-4	0	-1	-5
«-»	Agricultural land	0	-5	0	0	0	-5
C	LARP implementation (2020 год)	4	0	6	1	0	11
	including						
	Real Estate/Business	4	0	6	1	0	11
	Agricultural land	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 13. Summary Comparison of Resettlement impact on vulnerable Households

№	Impact type/ N of AH	Kyzart	Jany-Aryk	Jumgal	Kuyruchuk	Tugol-Sai	Total
A	According to LARP (2018)	0	10	20	7	2	39
	including						
	Real Estate/Business	0	0	11	7	2	20
	Agricultural land	0	10	9	0	0	19
B	Excluded objects	0	-10	-12	-2	-2	-26
	including						
«-»	Real Estate/Business	0	0	-3	-2	-2	-7
«-»	Agricultural land	0	-10	-9	0	0	-19
C	LARP implementation (2020)	0	0	8	5	0	13
	including						
	Real Estate/Business	0	0	8	5	0	13
	Agricultural land	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 14. Summary of Project Impact on Commercial Objects

№	PK	RHS / LHS <sup>11</sup>	Description	Location	According to LARP	LARP implementation
1	1115+45	LHS	Wagon-house	Kyzart	Business suspension	Business suspension
2	1115+55	LHS	Wagon-house	Kyzart	Business suspension	Business suspension
3	1115+65	LHS	Wagon-house	Kyzart	Business suspension	Business suspension
4	1115+75	LHS	Wagon-house	Kyzart	Business suspension	Business suspension
5	1272+25	RHS	Stall	Jumgal	Business suspension	Business suspension
6	1275+60	LHS	Pavilion	Jumgal	Business suspension	Business suspension
7	1276+00	RHS	Pavilion (building)	Jumgal	Permanent business termination	Object excluded
8	1276+40	LHS	Pavilion	Jumgal	Business suspension	Business suspension
9	1279+85	LHS	Pavilion (building)	Jumgal	Permanent business termination	Permanent business termination
10	1430+30	RHS	Pavilion (building)	Kuyruchuk	Permanent business termination	No business

<sup>11</sup> RHS - Right Hand Side , LHS - Left Hand Side

Table 15. Summary of excluded DPs in settlements

No in VR <sup>12</sup>	PK	RHS / LHS <sup>13</sup>	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	TOTAL losses and allowance	Remarks
		Jumgal v.							
4	1273+15	LHS	1,183,371	11,745.00		6,840		1,201,956	excluded (setting out)
6	1273+58	RHS	0					0	excluded (setting out)
7	1275+20	RHS	0					0	excluded (setting out)
9	1276+00	RHS	434,726	8,745.00	300,000	6,840	6,840	757,151	excluded (setting out)
12	1277+15	LHS	0					0	excluded (setting out)
14	1277+37	RHS	72,142	10,745.00		6,840		89,727	excluded (change of documents)
19	1278+60	LHS	81,547					81,547	excluded (setting out)
33	1282+90	LHS	48,902					48,902	excluded (setting out)
52	1290+10	RHS	34,745				6,840	41,585	excluded (setting out)
53	1290+85	RHS	105,411	10,745.00				116,156	excluded (setting out)
54	1290+95	RHS	28,851					28,851	excluded (setting out)
		Total Jumgal v.	1,989,695	41,980	300,000	20,520	13,680	2,365,875	
		Kuyruchuk v.							
9	1427+61	LHS	25,925	10,745				36,670	excluded (setting out)
10	1427+97	LHS	0					0	excluded (setting out)
12	1428+10	LHS	0					0	excluded (setting out)
14	1431+85	LHS	65,471				6,840	72,311	excluded (setting out)
15	1434+20	LHS	21,708					21,708	excluded (setting out)

<sup>12</sup> VR – valuation report

<sup>13</sup> RHS - Right Hand Side , LHS - Left Hand Side

No in VR <sup>12</sup>	PK	RHS / LHS <sup>13</sup>	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	TOTAL losses and allowance	Remarks
16	1435+00	LHS	28,067				6,840	34,907	excluded (setting out)
		Total Kuyruchuk v.	141,171	10,745	0	0	13,680	165,596	
		Tugol-Sai v.							
2	1499+80	LHS	15,793				6,840	22,633	excluded (setting out)
3	1500+00	LHS	46,892				6,840	53,732	excluded (setting out)
		Total Tugol-Sai v.	62,685	0	0	0	13,680	76,365	
		TOTAL	2,193,551	52,725	300,000	20,520	41,040	2,607,836	

Table 16. Summary of excluded DPs using agricultural land

№	RHS / LHS	Owner / Tenant	Land Market Value	Amount of losses due to crop loss	Transaction costs	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	TOTAL losses and allowance
			KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS
	Jany-Aryk v.							
1	LHS	Owner	11,900	2,000	7,745			21,645
2	LHS	Owner	13,600	2,300	7,745			23,645
3	RHS	Owner	1,683		7,745			9,428
		Tenant		300				300
4	RHS	Owner	2,805	4,800	7,745			15,350
5	RHS	Owner	1,867	400	7,745			10,012
6	RHS	Owner	1,365	2,336	7,745			11,446
7	RHS	Owner	1,785	300	7,745			9,830
8	RHS	Owner	2,193	400	7,745		6,840	17,178
9	RHS	Owner	1,224	300	7,745			9,269
10	RHS	Owner	1,265	300	7,745			9,310
11	RHS	Owner	1,581	300	7,745		6,840	16,466
12	RHS	Owner	2,108	400	7,745			10,253
13	RHS	Owner	1,224	300	7,745			9,269
14	RHS	Owner	1,054		7,745			8,799
		Tenant		200			6,840	7,040
15	RHS	Owner	1,705	300	7,745			9,750
16	RHS	Owner	2,020	400	7,745			10,165
17	RHS	Owner	1,459		7,745			9,204
		Tenant		300				300
18	RHS	Owner	1,683	300	7,745			9,728

№	RHS / LHS	Owner / Tenant	Land Market Value	Amount of losses due to crop loss	Transaction costs	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	TOTAL losses and allowance
			KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS
19	RHS	Owner	15,708	2,600	7,745	2,600		28,653
20	RHS	Owner	3,245	447	7,745			11,437
21	RHS	Owner	2,001	400	7,745		6,840	16,986
22	RHS	Owner	2,055	400	7,745			10,200
23	RHS	Owner	927	200	7,745			8,872
24	RHS	Owner	1,805		7,745			9,550
		Tenant		300				300
25	RHS	Owner	2,499	500	7,745			10,744
26	RHS	Owner	3,431	600	7,745			11,776
27	RHS	Owner	8,225	1,400	7,745		6,840	24,210
28	RHS	Owner	5,596		7,745			13,341
		Tenant		1,000				1,000
29	RHS	Owner	2,365	400	7,745		6,840	17,350
30	RHS	Owner	1,916	400	7,745			10,061
31	RHS	Owner	4,015	700	7,745			12,460
32	RHS	Owner	5,612	1,000	7,745			14,357
33	RHS	Owner	3,471		7,745			11,216
		Tenant		600				600
34	RHS	Owner	7,108	1,200	7,745			16,053
35	RHS	Owner	10,751	1,800	7,745			20,296
36	RHS	Owner	1,110	200	7,745			9,055
37	RHS	Owner	11,999	2,000	7,745	2,000	6,840	30,584
38	RHS	Owner	6,108	1,100	7,745	1,100	6,840	22,893
39	RHS	Owner	9,612	1,600	7,745	1,600		20,557
40	RHS	Owner	3,471	600	7,745			11,816



№	RHS / LHS	Owner / Tenant	Land Market Value	Amount of losses due to crop loss	Transaction costs	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	TOTAL losses and allowance
			KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS
41	RHS	Owner	1,705	300	7,745			9,750
42	RHS	Owner	11,900	2,000	7,745	2,000		23,645
43	RHS	Owner	1,319	182	7,745		6,840	16,086
44	RHS	Owner	3,480	600	7,745			11,825
45	RHS	Owner	4,463	800	7,745			13,008
46	LHS	Owner	3,196	600	7,745			11,541
47	RHS	Owner	10,838	1,800	7,745			20,383
48	LHS	Owner	2,615	500	7,745		6,840	17,700
	Total Jany-Aryk v.		205,067.0	42,165.0	371,760	9,300.0	68,400.0	696,692.0
	Jungal v.	Owner						
1	RHS	Owner	8,112	1,117	7,745			16,974
2	RHS	Owner	3,400	468	7,745			11,613
3	RHS	Owner	6,605	909	7,745			15,259
4	RHS	Owner	1,935		7,745			9,680
		Tenant		266				266
5	RHS	Owner	1,102	200	7,745			9,047
6	RHS	Owner	505	100	7,745			8,350
7	RHS	Owner	804	200	7,745		6,840	15,589
8	RHS	Owner	964	133	7,745			8,842
9	RHS	Owner	2,540	350	7,745		6,840	17,475
10	RHS	Owner	2,598		7,745			10,343
		Tenant		358				358
11	RHS	Owner	102	14	7,745			7,861
12	RHS	Owner	3,252		7,745			10,997

№	RHS / LHS	Owner / Tenant	Land Market Value	Amount of losses due to crop loss	Transaction costs	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	TOTAL losses and allowance
			KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS
		Tenant		448				448
13	RHS	Owner	3,252		7,745		6,840	17,837
		Tenant		448				448
14	RHS	Owner	699	96	7,745			8,540
15	RHS	Owner	1,020	159	7,745			8,924
16	RHS	Owner	1,020	159	7,745			8,924
17	RHS	Owner	2,754	379	7,745		6,840	17,718
18	RHS	Owner	5,610	875	7,745			14,230
19	RHS	Owner	3,674	700	7,745		6,840	18,959
20	RHS	Owner	6,023	1,000	7,745			14,768
21	RHS	Owner	4,238	800	7,745		6,840	19,623
22	RHS	Owner	3,570	600	7,745			11,915
23	RHS	Owner	3,143	600	7,745			11,488
24	RHS	Owner	2,516	500	7,745		6,840	17,601
25	RHS	Owner	5,950	928	7,745		6,840	21,463
26	RHS	Owner	4,038	630	7,745		6,840	19,253
	Total Jungal v.		79,426.0	12,437.0	201,370.0	0.0	61,560.0	354,793.0
	TOTAL		284,493	54,602	573,130	9,300	129,960	1,051,485

Table 17. Summary analysis of actual compensation <sup>14</sup>(all sections)

№ in VR	RHS / LHS	LARP 2017						LARP implementation 2020						Note
		Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	
		KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	
	Kyzart pass	0	0	300,000	27,360	0	327,360	0	0	300,000	44,496	0	344,496	
1	LHS	0		75,000	6,840		81,840	0		75,000	11,124		86,124	updating of calculations
2	LHS	0		75,000	6,840		81,840	0		75,000	11,124		86,124	updating of calculations
3	LHS	0		75,000	6,840		81,840	0		75,000	11,124		86,124	updating of calculations
4	LHS	0		75,000	6,840		81,840	0		75,000	11,124		86,124	updating of calculations
	Jumgal v.	4,576,122	4,576,122	570,000	47,880	61,560	5,255,562	3,453,402	181,567	570,000	66,744	88,992	4,360,705	
1	RHS	18,360					18,360	18,406					18,406	updating of calculations
2	RHS	0		90,000			90,000	0		90,000			90,000	without changes
3	RHS	70,991					70,991	41,968					41,968	updating of calculations
5	RHS	69,446	10,745		6,840		76,286	58,677	12,094		11,124		81,895	updating of calculations
8	LHS	0		210,000			210,000	0		210,000			210,000	without changes
10	LHS	0		90,000			90,000	0		90,000			90,000	without changes
11	RHS	232,374	1,000				232,374	156,246					156,246	updating of calculations
13	RHS	54,851	10,745				54,851	44,088	11,625			11,124	66,837	updating of calculations
15	RHS	140,815	11,745		6,840		147,655	129,035	9,722		11,124		149,881	updating of calculations
16	RHS	133,715	10,745		6,840		140,555	112,928	10,859		11,124		134,911	updating of calculations

<sup>14</sup> LARP implementation 2020 - contains the actual costs of rights registration and other documentation that were determined during the actual implementation of the LAR.

Compliance Report: CAREC Corridors 1 and 3 Connector Road Project, Section 2B

№ in VR	RHS / LHS	LARP 2017						LARP implementation 2020						Note
		Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	
		KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	
17	RHS	256,707	10,745		6,840		263,547	83,299					83,299	change of documents, setting out, updating of calculations
18	RHS	331,230				6,840	338,070	218,608				11,124	229,732	updating of calculations
20	RHS	24,231				6,840	31,071	24,288				11,124	35,412	by proxy updating of calculations
21	RHS	33,608					33,608	33,692					33,692	by inheritance updating of calculations
22	LHS	45,197					45,197	43,225					43,225	updating of calculations
23	LHS	581,148		180,000	6,840		767,988	343,362		180,000	11,124		534,486	updating of calculations
24	RHS	43,175				6,840	50,015	43,279				11,124	54,403	updating of calculations
25	LHS	110,495	10,745				110,495	73,583	12,169				85,752	updating of calculations
26	LHS	24,277	10,745				24,277	13,527	12,376				25,903	updating of calculations
27	RHS	243,260	10,745		6,840		250,100	213,951	12,132		11,124		237,207	updating of calculations
28	LHS	27,349					27,349	28,033					28,033	updating of calculations
29	RHS	89,508				6,840	96,348	74,256				11,124	85,380	updating of calculations
30	LHS	17,746				6,840	24,586	17,773					17,773	by inheritance, updating of calculations
31	LHS	113,175	10,745				113,175	102,485	11,982				114,467	updating of calculations
32	RHS	109,461	10,745				109,461	98,756	11,881				110,637	updating documents,

Compliance Report: CAREC Corridors 1 and 3 Connector Road Project, Section 2B

№ in VR	RHS / LHS	LARP 2017						LARP implementation 2020						Note
		Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	
		KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	
														updating of calculations
34	RHS	367,892	1,000				367,892	356,883					356,883	updating of calculations
35	RHS	85,359					85,359	82,205					82,205	updating of calculations
36	RHS	90,446	10,745				90,446	76,252	10,013				86,265	updating of calculations
37	RHS	37,422				6,840	44,262	37,506				11,124	48,630	updating documents
38	RHS	194,005	10,745		6,840		200,845	167,336	12,376		11,124		190,836	updating of calculations
39	RHS	41,720					41,720	26,352					26,352	updating of calculations
40	RHS	158,371	11,745				158,371	107,916					107,916	setting out, updating of calculations
41	LHS	34,745					34,745	34,832					34,832	updating of calculations
42	RHS	84,484					84,484	68,789					68,789	updating of calculations
43	LHS	30,692				6,840	37,532	39,861	13,035				52,896	by inheritance, updating of calculations
44	LHS	181,803					181,803	33,059	13,491				46,550	setting out, updating of calculations
45	RHS	133,940	10,745			6,840	140,780	104,080	13,254			11,124	128,458	updating of calculations
46	LHS	44,472					44,472	44,566					44,566	updating of calculations
47	RHS	120,546	10,745				120,546	105,859	14,558				120,417	by proxy updating of calculations
48	RHS	36,640					36,640	36,732					36,732	updating of calculations

Compliance Report: CAREC Corridors 1 and 3 Connector Road Project, Section 2B

№ in VR	RHS / LHS	LARP 2017						LARP implementation 2020						Note
		Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	
		KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	
49	RHS	72,760					72,760	72,922					72,922	updating of calculations
50	RHS	52,309				6,840	59,149	53,311				11,124	64,435	updating of calculations
51	RHS	37,397					37,397	31,476					31,476	updating of calculations
	Kuyruchuk v.	927,732	927,732	150,000	6,840	34,200	1,118,772	649,616	0	0	11,124	55,620	716,360	
1	LHS	80,145				6,840	86,985	80,323				11,124	91,447	by inheritance, updating of calculations
2	LHS	36,546				6,840	43,386	36,636				11,124	47,760	updating of calculations
3	LHS	46,416					46,416	46,450					46,450	updating of calculations
4	LHS	49,648				6,840	56,488	49,757				11,124	60,881	updating of calculations
5	LHS	35,989					35,989	36,040					36,040	updating of calculations
6	LHS	33,390				6,840	40,230	33,469				11,124	44,593	updating of calculations
7	LHS	87,149					87,149	87,211					87,211	updating of calculations
8	LHS	27,862				6,840	34,702	27,926				11,124	39,050	updating of calculations
11	RHS	47,897	10,745				47,897	20,925					20,925	updating of calculations
13	RHS	482,690	1,000	150,000	6,840		639,530	230,879			11,124		242,003	by proxy updating of calculations
	Tugol-Sai v.	443,571	443,571	0	6,840	0	450,411	173,527	10,985	0	0	0	184,512	
1	RHS	390,918	11,745		6,840		397,758	131,552					131,552	updating of calculations
4	RHS	52,653	10,745				52,653	41,975	10,985				52,960	updating of calculations

№ in VR	RHS / LHS	LARP 2017						LARP implementation 2020						Note
		Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	Losses due to real estate loss	Transaction costs	Total losses due to income /business loss	Severe impact allowance	Allowance for vulnerable DPs	Total losses and allowance	
		KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	KGS	
	TOTAL	5,947,425	5,947,425	1,020,000	88,920	95,760	7,152,105	4,276,545	192,552	870,000	122,364	144,612	5,606,073	






#### ANNEX 4. Summary of complaints and appeals

Table 1. Summary of complaints and appeals.

№	Date of receiving	Name	Location/Address	Content of complaints	Action Taken/Status	Closing date
1	26.04.2019	Dzhumalieva Zh.B. 0700 257360	Km150 + 000, Jumgal district, Tugol-Sai v.	She requested us to suspend the construction and expansion of the road ditch at the section from km 150 + 000 to km 150 + 060 (LHS), since this land plot is her private property.	Written confirmation has been received that the applicant has no claims. The question is closed.	27.06.2019
2	25.06.2019	Shamyrganova B.M. 0550 343488	Km147 + 000, Jumgal district, Kuyruchuk v.	She requested us to clarify the right of way (impact corridor) for the construction of the road, since she has a land plot on this section of the highway.	A site visit was conducted and it was confirmed that the land was not affected by the project. The answer is provided, the question is closed.	08.07.2019
3	17.03.2020	Kasymkulov E.K.	Km143 + 500, Jumgal district, Kuyruchuk v.	He applied verbally to be explained in more detail about vibration during the construction of the highway	Written confirmation has been received that the applicant has no claims. The question is closed	27.03.2020
4	26.08.2020	Sultanova Nurzhamal	Km127 + 600, Jumgal district, Jumgal v.	She complained that her commercial pavilion was excluded from the impact of the project and she did not receive compensation	The notification No. 14-8/219 dated 09.03.2020 about N. Sultanova was delivered on 11.03.2020. A written response was provided to the complaint that her object is not affected by the project	09.09.2020

## **ANNEX 5. Statements of Demir Kyrgyz International Bank CJSC.**

DKIB statements are in a separate file

-  \_2020 02 11 DKIB statement on payment of compensation
-  \_2020 02 24 DKIB statement on payment of compensation
-  \_2020 03 03 DKIB statement refund
-  \_2020 03 5-19 DKIB statement on payment of compensation
-  \_2020 09 14-22 DKIB statement on payment of compensation



**ANNEX 6. Official letters**

1. Letter from Jany-Aryk AO confirming the absence of a new impact on land plots due to the shifting of the road axis in agricultural land area



**KYRGYZ REPUBLIC  
NARYN REGION JUMGAL DISTRICT  
AIYL OKMOT OF JANY-ARYK AIYL AIMAK**  
Address: No.36, Omur uulu Abik str.,  
Jany-Aryk village, 722708  
[kyzartaok@mail.ru](mailto:kyzartaok@mail.ru)  
settlement account: 4405041251001171  
Jumgal ROK, BIC 440504  
TIN 02009199610335  
OKPO code 04506848

Dated " 6 " March 2020 Ref.No. 01-20/25

Response to No. \_\_\_\_\_ dated " \_\_\_\_ " \_\_\_\_\_ 2020 Jany-Aryk village

**To: Director of IPIG ADB under MoTR KR  
Attn.: S.K. Ibraimov**

As you are aware, due to review of the technical parameters and shifting of the project road axis at the sections km 121+540 – 123+560 and 128+680 – 130+580, it was possible to avoid the impact of the project on the agricultural land plots of the residents of Jany-Aryk village. In connection with this, hereby we confirm that the slight change in technical parameters and shifting of the project road axis will not have new additional impact. The land plots, where the road axis was shifted to, belong to the State and are not leased. The above said sections of the project road were additionally surveyed by the land management specialists of the Jany-Aryk aiyl aimak together with the representatives of GosRegister.

Head of Jany-Aryk AA /signed/ R. Moldobazarov  
Land Management Specialist /signed/ K. Koktaev  
/Stamp affixed/

2. Letter from Jumgal Ayil Okmotu on new location agreed for three pavilions to be relocated within the village



No. 72  
 “01” June 2017  
 v. Jumgal

To: Ministry of Transportation and Roads of the Kyrgyz Republic.  
 From: Head of Ayil Okmotu.

CERTIFICATE


In response to your letter No. 14-8 / 3965 dated 18.05.2017, the following owners of kiosks in Jumgal ayil okmotu, which are located on the road constructed in accordance with the terms of the ADB, should find another place to improve their business:

- on Orozalieva K. street, No. 1, Orozalieva E. K.
- on Begaliev A. street, no. 11, Shambekova G. A.
- on Asanova B. street, No. 21, S. Nogoibaeva S.

Please, be informed about the following:  
 In order to install and continue the trade further, the owners of these kiosks - Orozaliev E.K. received 12 sq. m, Shambekova G.A. received 22 sq. m, and Nogoibaeva S. received 22.5 sq. m from a municipal property balance of Jumgal ayil okmotu.

Yours faithfully,  
 Head of Jumgal ayil okmotu /stamp, signature/ S. Kaparbekov

3. IPIG Letter handed to AP with residential house at PK 1273 + 15 L excluded from the list of impacted objects



MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT AND ROADS OF THE KYRGYS REPUBLIC

ASIAN DEVELOPMENT BANK INVESTMENT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION GROUP

Ref. No.14-8/221 dated 09.03.2020

Dear Mr. Saipidinov Talantbek Kochkonbaevich,

Hereby the Investment Project Implementation Group under Ministry of Transport and Roads of the Kyrgyz Republic informs you that your object (residential house) at the address 2, Asanov Street, Jumgal village has been excluded from the list of impact objects of CAREC Corridors 1 and 3 Connector Road (from Ak-Uchuk to Bash-Kuugandy village) by two engineering solutions.

Respectfully yours,

Director of IPIG /signed/ S. Ibraimov

Scheme No. 04 PK 1273 + 15 L of AP's residential house excluded from the list of impacted objects

